SNIA DEVELOPER CONFERENCE



September 16-18, 2024 Santa Clara, CA

What is the Role of Flash in Data Ingestion within the Al Pipeline?

Sundararajan (Sundar) Sankaranarayanan, Suresh Rajgopal, Sujit Somandepalli



Outline

➢ Key takeaways

- > Why is Data Storage and Ingest (DSI) key part of the AI pipeline?
- How Flash storage plays a key role in solving storage capacity vs. IO bandwidth scaling challenge?
- Results from storage trace analysis of DLRM preprocessing and training workloads
- Call to enhance existing MLPerf DLRM benchmark





Key Takeaways

Data storage and ingestion (DSI) is a critical part of the AI pipeline

Deep Learning Recommendation Model (DLRM) training presents key challenges to storage capacity and IO scaling – Flash storage is key to tackling the scaling challenge

MLPerf Training DLRM benchmark captures training portion of the model

As a call to action, we highlight the need for an extension to the benchmark that captures DSI aspects of DLRM training





AI Model Training – A Simple Pipeline



- AI models and accelerators are often the focus of a training pipeline
- The data storage and ingest (DSI) portion of the pipeline is equally critical
- DSI is designed to feed the accelerators without stall
- In this talk, we will focus on storage and ingest portion of the DLRM training pipeline



Deep Learning Recommendation Model (DLRM) – Scale & Significance



- Recommendation models are backbone for Meta, Netflix, Google etc.
 Multiple DLRM models are maintained, and new models are continuously trained and developed
 Model parameters:

 MLP
 embedding tables

 One of Meta's models has 12 trillion parameters
- Size of embedding tables is a key bottleneck and often tiered in host memory (DRAM)
- Meta's model embedding table is 96 TB (or 24 TB compressed)
- Models are trained on Petabytes of data
- Scalable DSI is critical in transporting PBs of data to accelerators



Ref / Credit: Meta's DLRM Papers

DLRM Training Pipeline– An Illustration



- DLRM pipeline is a closed system DSI portion of the pipeline is highlighted in Blue
- □ Data for subsequent training grows with every user interaction
- \Box Petabytes of data is read out of storage \rightarrow preprocessed to form tensors \rightarrow fed to trainers
- DLRM training is a single-epoch process
- □ Total power budget = power for Storage + power for preprocess + power for trainers



Role of Storage in the DLRM Pipeline



User interactions are logged and stored in a database

- □ Logged data is cleaned and structured and stored as Data Warehouse tables
- Tables, often in compressed formats, are stored in distributed storage lake often exabyte scale of storage
- Periodic checkpointing during training is critical to recover from failures
- \Box Storage and IO bandwidth needs are met with the right mix of HDDs and SSDs \leftarrow Scaling Challenge

Scaling Challenge for AI Training – Meta's Solution

Ref / Credit: Meta's Understanding DSI paper



- Dataset storage size has grown 2x in 2 years
 IO bandwidth demand has grown 4x in 2 years
 A scalable architecture should meet storage
 - and IO demands

Ref / Credit: Meta's Understanding DSI paper



□ Meta solves IO scaling with an SSD caching layer over Tectonic (HDD-based) called Shift

- Data in Shift is not durable, and Shift leverages underlying Meta's CacheLib
- □ Tectonic-Shift saves 29% of power relative to using HDDs alone

8 | ©2024 SNIA. All Rights Reserved.

Key Characteristics of Meta's DLRM Pipeline



9 | ©2024 SNIA. All Rights Reserved. DLRM – Deep Learning Recommendation Model, PB – Petabytes, RM – Recommendation Model, SSD – Solid State Drive

Key Characteristics of Meta's DLRM Pipeline





MLPerf Training Benchmark - DLRM

Ref / Credit: https://mlcommons.org/benchmarks/training

MLPerf Training benchmark suite measures how fast systems can train models to a target quality metric
 Each benchmark is defined by a Dataset and Quality Target for training

Here, we focus on results from our DLRM benchmarking effort

11 | ©2024 SNIA. All Rights Reserved.

	<mark>DLRM Model</mark>	 □ Large DLRM model w/ 13 numerical, 26 categorical, and 1 true label features □ Large DLRM model consumes ~132 GB of VRAM on GPU HBM → ~4 A100 GPU HBM capacity is required 			
	Dataset	1 TB of raw data (Criteo Click 1 TB dataset)			
	Preprocessing	 Offline preprocessing of the dataset Raw data converted to columnar compressed parquet format Categories converted to contiguous integer representation Missing numerical values are zeroed and feature values are normalized 			
	System & Tracing	 AMD EPYC 7742 128-Core Processor (2x64) NVIDIA A100 - 8x 40 GB NVMe Tracing using libpf 			
	Reference	Github-NVIDIA-DeepLearningExamples			

DLRM Storage Trace Analysis - Results

Storage Trace	DLRM Preprocessing w/ GPU	DLRM Preprocessing w/ CPU	DLRM Training on GPU	Preprocessing is an offline
Experimental Setup	Preprocessed with 8 GPUs	Preprocessed with 2 64-core CPUs	Trained with 8 GPUs: batch size = 8K, # of batches = 64014	task 1 Read and write payloads are
What's in storage?	Criteo click dataset in Gen. 4 drive	Criteo click dataset in Gen. 4 drive	Preprocessed dataset in 2 Gen. 4 drives (RAIDO),	large 2
Run time (secs)	1900	5181	445	Writes during preprocessing are sequential 3 Reads on certain portions of the workload are highly sequential 4
% Read Volume (#)	72 (7.7M)	55 (17M)	100 (469K)	
Perf. (MBpS)	4 1500-6000 _{Read} 3000 _{Write}	500-6000 _{Read} 1800-3000 _{Write}	454 _{Read}	
QD	$250_{ ext{mean}} ightarrow 10_{ ext{mean}}$	1-11	4-5	
Read Payload (KB)	2 512 _{90%}	512 _{89%}	512 _{71%})	
Read – Sequential Volume %	4 43-55	50-90	68	Performance demands from storage are time-variant
Write Payload (KB)	2 1280 _{65%}	1280 _{40%}	N/A ;	
Write – Sequential Volume %	85-95	90-99 (in large portions of the trace)	N/A	SD 🔮

Meta's DLRM Pipeline vs. DLRM Benchmark

Meta's DLRM Pipeline	DLRM Benchmark	
Preprocessing is inline with training	Preprocessing is offline and separate from training	
Data stored in columnar format in a distributed data warehouse format	Data is converted to a columnar parquet format as part of preprocessing	
Training consume PBs of data with portions read out SSDs	Training consumes TBs of data	
Key innovations in data placement on storage devices to enable features filtering	Feature column filtering is not supported	

Call To Action

Create more representative benchmark datasets

cron

13 | ©2024 SNIA. All Rights Reserved.

- Place data on storage devices like that in large production pipeline
- □ Create an option to make preprocessing inline with training



Key Takeaways

14 ©2024 SNIA. All Rights Reserved

Data storage and ingestion (DSI) is a critical part of the AI pipeline

Flash storage is key to tackling the storage capacity vs. IO bandwidth scaling challenge – often, large dataset for training is stored in flash storage

MLPerf Training DLRM benchmark captures training portion of the model

As a call to action, we highlight the need for an extension to the benchmark that captures DSI aspects of DLRM training

Call To Action

- Create more representative benchmark datasets
- Place data on storage devices like that in large production pipeline
- **Create an option to make preprocessing inline with training**